

Intertek
The Warehouse
Brewery Lane
Leigh WN7 2RJ

Tel +44 1942 265 700 consumergoods.uk@intertek.com intertek.com

FLAMMABILITY TEST REPORT

Company Name & Address: BUTE FABRICS LTD

4 BARONE ROAD ROTHESAY ISLE OF BUTE PA20 ODP

Contact Name: M A SPEIRS

Sample Details

Order No.: 3324

Description: Woven Fabric Ref. / Style No.: CF1042 Colour: 0101 Natural Quality: Pencil

Supplier: Bute Fabrics Ltd Batch No.: Not stated

End Use: Upholstery / Drapes

No. Of Samples: Four
Quoted Fibre Composition: 100% Wool
Retailer Not stated

Sample Description: Beige coloured woven fabric with black coloured stripe

Test Method	Pre Treatment Flammability Per Requireme		Result
BS 5867: Part 2: 2008	The sample was tested without any form of cleansing or wetting procedure as requested by the customer.	Туре В	PASS

Note: In accordance with clause 7 of BS 5867: Part 2: 2008 a fabric for which compliance with the requirements of this standard is claimed shall be supplied with the following information, the manufacturer's name, trademark or other identifying mark, the statement 'Flammability complies with the requirements of BS 5867: Part 2 Type B' and instructions on any special precautions to be taken concerning care (including cleansing) of the product, preferably using an appropriate care labelling symbol in accordance with BS EN ISO 3758 and taking account of the durability procedure used in this test

Fabrics which are claimed to be treated with a non-durable flame-retardant finish may be tested without any form of cleansing or wetting procedure prior to testing if agreed between the parties involved. BS 5867: Part 2: 2008 states that if the fabric tested has not been subjected to any form of cleansing or wetting procedure prior to testing due to the fabric being treated with a non-durable flame retardant finish it is important that the fabric be clearly labelled as follows:

WARNING: IF WETTED IN ANY WAY IT IS ESSENTIAL TO RE-TREAT THE FABRIC TO MEET FLAMMABILITY

REQUIREMENTS. Or:

WARNING: WETTING IN ANY WAY MIGHT REMOVE THE FIRE RESISTING QUALITIES OF THE FABRIC

STEVEN OWEN
(Technical & Operational
Excellence Manager)

ANDREW HALLETT (Flammability Team Leader)

CAROLE SPOWART (Flammability Technician)

GREGORY JAMES
(Flammability Technician)

Report No.: LEI21011207A Page 1 of 3









Tel +44 1942 265 700 consumergoods.uk@intertek.com intertek.com



FLAMMABILITY TEST REPORT

Test Specification

Test Method: BS 5867: Part 2: 2008 Type B using BS EN ISO 15025:2002

(With the modifications from clause 6.3.2 of BS 5867: Part 2: 2008).

Ignition Source: 25mm horizontal reach Propane gas flame

Ignition Type: Surface
Flame Application Time: 15±1 seconds
Sample Size: 200 x 160mm

Side Tested: Face

Uncertainty of Measurement

The uncertainty of measurement has been estimated to be 4.40%.

Pre-treatment / Durability Procedure

None.

Conditioning

Prior to Testing: At least 24 hours in an atmosphere having a temperature of 20±2°C. and a relative humidity

of 60±5%

At Time of Testing: Temperature between 15°C & 30°C. Relative humidity between 20% & 65%

Test Results

Report of tests carried out in accordance with BS EN ISO 15025:2002. The results may not apply to situations where there is restricted air supply or prolonged exposure to large sources of intense heat as in a conflagration.

Test before pre-treatment

Sample No./ Direction	Duration of flaming	Duration of afterglow	Flaming debris	Flame to edge	Hole to edge	Maximum damaged length (mm)	
	(Secs)	(Secs)				Horizontal	Vertical
1. Length ↑	0	0	No	No	No	24	80
2. Length ↓	0	0	No	No	No	22	81
3. Length ↑	0	0	No	No	No	24	79
4. Width →	0	0	No	No	No	23	82
5. Width ←	0	0	No	No	No	23	78
6. Width →	0	0	No	No	No	25	82

Conclusions

When tested without any form of cleansing or wetting procedure the sample meets the flammability performance requirements of BS 5867: Part 2: 2008 Type B. **PASS.**









Tel +44 1942 265 700 consumergoods.uk@intertek.com intertek.com



FLAMMABILITY TEST REPORT

The client acknowledges and agrees that any services provided and/or reports produced by Intertek are done so within the limits of the scope of work agreed pursuant to the client's specific instructions. This report relates specifically to the sample(s) tested that were drawn and delivered by the client or their nominated third party. Intertek does not make any representation or warranty for any bulk samples or certify the bulk samples received from the client. Furthermore, Intertek does not provide a warranty or verification on the sample(s) representing any specific goods, material and/or shipment and only relate to the sample(s) as received and tested. Intertek have aimed to conduct the review on a diligent and careful basis and we do not accept any liability to you for any loss arising out of or in connection with this report, in contract, tort, by statute or otherwise, except in the event of our gross negligence or wilful misconduct. In no event, will the contents of any reports or any extracts, excerpts or parts of any reports be distributed or published without the prior written consent of Intertek in each instance. Only the client is authorized to permit copying or distribution of this report (and then only in its entirety). Any such third parties to whom this report may be circulated rely on the content of the report solely at their own risk.

The reported expanded uncertainty is based on a standard uncertainty multiplied by a coverage factor of k=2, providing a level of confidence of approximately 95 %. Unless otherwise specified all compliance and pass/fail statements are binary simple acceptance based on the tolerance interval and, with the exception of graded methods, a test uncertainty ratio greater (TUR) than 4:1. For graded methods the TUR will drop to as low as 0.5:1 when the tolerance limits are within a grade division of the upper scale limit. The Uncertainty budgets are stated for each Test method, these are for reference, and should be considered when results are on or close to Specification Limits / Requirements and in such cases it should be noted that the risk of false acceptance or rejection may be as high as 50%, for further information please refer to ILAC G8.

Report No.: LEI21011207A Page 3 of 3





