

TEST REPORT

Report Ref.	LEI21020792A Original		
Date Received	08/02/2021	Date Issued	15/02/2021

Company Name & Address	Bute Fabrics Ltd Barone Road Isle of Bute, PA20 0DP GBR
Contact Name	M.A Speirs

Order Number	3333
Sample Description	Woven fabric
Ref / Style Number	CF750
Colour	0101
Supplier	Bute Fabrics Ltd
End Use	Upholstery / Curtain & Drape
No Of Samples	Three
Quoted Fibre Composition	92% Pure new wool, 8% Nylon
Care Instructions	Dry clean
Retailer	General

Test	Method	Sample	Result
Martindale Abrasion Resistance - 12 kPa	BS EN 14465: 2003 Annex A		See Results

Tests marked (^) in this report have been performed by an approved 3rd party laboratory.
Tests marked (*) in this report are not included in our UKAS scope of accreditation.

G. D. Jones

Charlotte Jones
(Jobsheet Technician)

Martindale Abrasion Resistance - 12 kPa BS EN 14465: 2003 Annex A
Conditioning Parameters: 20°C±2°C & 65% rH±4% rH

	Results	Requirements		
Shade Change @ 3000 revs	4 - 5			
	Abrasion resistance*	Performance level		
Specimen 1	70,000 Revs	A = 35,000		
Specimen 2	70,000 Revs	B = 12,000 - 30,000		
Specimen 3	70,000 Revs	C = 4,000 - 10,000		
Overall result**	70,000 Revs			
Overall performance level	A			
Test information				
Test load: 12 kPa				
Fabric Type	Flat woven			
Breakdown criteria	Three thread breakdown			
Inspection interval	Every 10,000			
Foam used	Yes			
*The abrasion resistance result is the last inspection point at which no breakdown was observed,				
**The overall result is the lowest individual test result of all the test specimens tested.				
BS 2543: 2004 Classification (Minimum levels for customer reference)				
	Flat woven	Figured weave	Woven/Flocked/Non-Woven Pile Fabrics	Knitted
Light Domestic	15,000	12,000	15,000	15,000
General Domestic	20,000	15,000	20,000	20,000
Heavy Domestic	25,000	20,000	25,000	25,000
General Contract	30,000	30,000	25,000	25,000
Severe Contract	40,000	40,000	30,000	30,000

Overall Test Result: See Results
Uncertainty: ±17.1%

Report Type	Issue Date	Revision Reason	Revision Description
Original	15-Feb-21	Complete Original Issue	N/A

The client acknowledges and agrees that any services provided and/or reports produced by Intertek are done so within the limits of the scope of work agreed pursuant to the client's specific instructions. This report relates specifically to the sample(s) tested that were drawn and delivered by the client or their nominated third party. Intertek does not make any representation or warranty for any bulk samples or certify the bulk samples received from the client. Furthermore, Intertek does not provide a warranty or verification on the sample(s) representing any specific goods, material and/or shipment and only relate to the sample(s) as received and tested. Intertek have aimed to conduct the review on a diligent and careful basis and we do not accept any liability to you for any loss arising out of or in connection with this report, in contract, tort, by statute or otherwise, except in the event of our gross negligence or willful misconduct. In no event, will the contents of any reports or any extracts, excerpts or parts of any reports be distributed or published without the prior written consent of Intertek in each instance. Only the client is authorized to permit copying or distribution of this report (and then only in its entirety). Any such third parties to whom this report may be circulated rely on the content of the report solely at their own risk.

The reported expanded uncertainty is based on a standard uncertainty multiplied by a coverage factor of $k = 2$, providing a level of confidence of approximately 95 %. Unless otherwise specified all compliance and pass/fail statements are binary simple acceptance based on the tolerance interval and, with the exception of graded methods, a test uncertainty ratio greater (TUR) than 4:1. For graded methods the TUR will drop to as low as 0.5:1 when the tolerance limits are within a grade division of the upper scale limit. The Uncertainty budgets are stated for each Test method, these are for reference, and should be considered when results are on or close to Specification Limits / Requirements and in such cases it should be noted that the risk of false acceptance or rejection may be as high as 50%, for further information please refer to ILAC G8.